Tunable coupled-mode dispersion compensation and its application to on-chip resonant four-wave mixing
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We propose and demonstrate mode coupling as a viable dispersion compensation technique for phase-matched resonant four-wave mixing (FWM). We demonstrate a dual-cavity resonant structure that employs coupling-induced frequency splitting at one of three resonances to compensate for cavity dispersion, enabling phase matching. Coupling strength is controlled by thermal tuning of one cavity enabling active tuning of the resonant frequency matching. In a fabricated silicon microcavity, we show an 8 dB enhancement of seeded FWM efficiency over the uncompensated state. The measured FWM has a peak wavelength conversion efficiency of −37.9 dB across a free spectral range (FSR) of 3.334 THz (~27 nm), which is, to the best of our knowledge, the largest in a silicon microcavity to demonstrate FWM to date. This form of dispersion compensation can be beneficial for many devices, including wavelength converters, parametric amplifiers, and widely detuned photon-pair sources. Apart from compensating dispersion, the proposed mechanism can alternatively be utilized in an otherwise dispersionless resonator to counteract the detuning effect of self- and cross-phase modulation on the pump resonance during FWM, thereby addressing a fundamental issue in the performance of light sources such as broadband optical frequency combs. © 2014 Optical Society of America

OCIS codes: (130.3990) Micro-optical devices; (190.4380) Nonlinear optics, four-wave mixing; (130.2035) Dispersion compensation devices.

On-chip four-wave mixing (FWM)-based devices have been the subject of much research lately for applications such as wavelength converters [1], octave spanning and phase-locked frequency combs [2,3], and quantum-entangled biphonon sources [4]. FWM is a third-order nonlinear process arising from the \( \chi^{(3)} \) susceptibility where two pump photons are parametrically converted to a signal–idler pair while conserving energy and momentum. Resonant enhancement of both the pump and signal–idler modes greatly improves FWM efficiency [5]. Silicon photonics has attracted interest as a nonlinear platform due to a Kerr coefficient that is over 100 times that of silica [6] and large index contrast allowing fabrication of high quality factor (Q) optical resonators with small mode volumes.

Aside from a high Q and small modal volume, phase matching is critical to efficient resonant FWM. In the case of a degenerate pump, three interacting wavelengths are present (pump, signal, and idler). Because cavity modes are intrinsically momentum-matched [1], efficient FWM is achieved when the signal, idler, and pump are on resonance with the three modes. The problem of efficient FWM is then reduced to designing for photon energy matching (resonant modes equally spaced in frequency). Adjacent longitudinal resonances of a single cavity, spaced by one free spectral range (FSR), are most commonly utilized for FWM due to device simplicity. Here, material and waveguide dispersion can lead to unequal FSRS and a serious reduction in FWM efficiency. Careful engineering of dispersion through resonator dimensions and pump wavelength is possible [1,7] but can impose severe restrictions on wavelength, mode volume, and FSR while conceding unnecessary fabrication complexity such as device layer thicknesses that require specialized fabrication techniques or the inclusion of additional materials [8]. This method may also result in multiple pairs of FSRS being energy-matched symmetrically around the pump, producing a comb of signal–idler wavelength pairs [7], which can be disadvantageous for applications such as heralded single-photon wave-pair sources [9].

Another promising solution is the use of the triply split resonance modes from a photonic molecule consisting of three coupled optical cavities [2–11]. The difficulty of obtaining resonant splitting greater than 10 nm without sacrificing Q due to coupler losses [11] suggests a need for other dispersion-compensating methods. Integrated optics has proven to be a platform where various cavity coupling strategies have provided new degrees of freedom in design—for example, allowing the design of square yet dispersionless filters [12], linewidth-unlimited modulators [13], asymmetric-response-based high-spectral-efficiency multiplexers [14], optomechanically coupled resonators for tailoring potentials through optical forces [15], and ultra-wide-tunable single-frequency lasers through imaginary coupling [16].

In this Letter, we propose and demonstrate mode coupling as a strategy for resonance control and dispersion compensation to enable phase-matched FWM. We demonstrate a dispersion-compensating device that is one of the simplest embodiments of this idea and compensates dispersive frequency offset of a single resonance. The device consists of two coupled cavities with unequal FSRs referred to as the “primary” and “auxiliary” cavities, as illustrated in Fig. 1. The general principle is to use frequency splitting due to mode coupling at a single longitudinal resonance of the primary cavity to compensate for the inherent frequency mismatch caused by dispersion [Fig. 1(b)]. With strong enough coupling (frequency splitting), locally, a four-way resonant system is created in which three resonances are energy-matched and therefore suitable for FWM. We note that this
amplitude inputs in the waveguide for the pump and signal modes, respectively, and \( s_i \) is the output wave amplitude for the idler mode. The FWM coefficient \( \beta_{fwm,i} \) contains the nonlinear properties of the material, along with the modal field overlap, as described in [17]. As in [5], we have used the undepleted pump and small signal approximation \( (|a_p|^2 \gg |a_s|^2 \gg |a_i|^2) \), which explains the asymmetric treatment of the signal and idler modes in Eq. (1). We have also assumed no nonlinear losses such as two-photon absorption (TPA). We set the actual input frequencies of the pump and signal \( (\omega_p, \omega_s, \text{ respectively}) \) equal to their corresponding resonant frequencies. The generated idler frequency is set by energy conservation \( (\omega_i = 2\omega_p - \omega_s) \) and is not necessarily equal to \( \omega_{i,o} \) (i.e., the idler is not assumed to be on resonance, allowing for the inclusion of dispersion in the model).

Solving Eqs. (1) and (2) in the steady state gives the conversion efficiency

\[
\eta \equiv \left| \frac{s_i}{s_{k,+}} \right|^2 = \frac{P_p a_i^2 |\beta_{fwm,i}|^2}{(r_{i,+} + r_{i,ext})^2} \times \frac{2r_{s,ext}}{(r_{s,0} + r_{s,ext})^2 (2\pi\Delta\nu)^2 + (r_{i,0} + r_{i,ext})^2},
\]

(3)

where \( \Delta\nu \) is the detuning of the generated idler from resonance (i.e., \( 2\pi\Delta\nu = \omega_i - \omega_{i,o} = 2\omega_{p,o} - \omega_{s,o} - \omega_{i,o} \)), and \( P_p \) is the pump power in the waveguide \( (P_p = |s_{p,+}|^2) \). Assuming that the FWM coefficient and pump and signal decay rates are independent of \( \Delta\nu \), we see that the efficiency takes on a Lorentzian form with respect to idler detuning

\[
\eta \propto \frac{2r_{i,ext}}{(2\pi\Delta\nu)^2 + (r_{i,0} + r_{i,ext})^2},
\]

(4)

where \( (r_{i,0} + r_{i,ext})/\pi \) is the linewidth (in Hz) of the idler resonance. We have implicitly included the effects of self- and cross-phase modulation (SPM and XPM) in Eq. (1) because they simply result in a power-dependent shift in the resonance frequencies \( \omega_{p,o}, \omega_{s,o}, \text{ and } \omega_{i,o} \). This results in a nonlinear contribution \( \Delta\nu^{NL} \) to the detuning in addition to the contribution due to dispersion \( \Delta\nu^{disp} \) (i.e., \( \Delta\nu = \Delta\nu^{disp} + \Delta\nu^{NL} \)).

We note, specific to our resonator configuration, that the assumption that the FWM coefficient \( \beta_{fwm,i} \) is independent of \( \Delta\nu \) is not rigorously valid because the idler mode becomes distributed across both cavities as the dispersion-compensating frequency splitting occurs, and the mode is more or less hybridized as a result [Fig. 1(d)]. However, we argue that the FWM coefficient can, at most, be reduced to \( 1/\sqrt{2} \) that of the uncoupled state (assuming maximally split idler supermodes are needed to compensate the dispersion). This is a much slower dependence than the Lorentzian roll-off in Eq. (4) and therefore can be ignored for understanding the underlying physics of the system. However, the reduced mode overlap does imply that this form of dispersion compensation can reduce the total efficiency to \( 1/2 \) that of a single dispersionless resonator of the same size as the primary cavity (while noting that a
a tunable telecom single-frequency laser was amplified by an erbium-doped fiber amplifier (EDFA), followed by two cascaded 5-nm-wide bandpass filters to remove most of the EDFA’s amplified spontaneous emission noise. Each measurement was performed with an estimated pump power of 8.7 dBm and a signal power of −14.9 dBm in the waveguide bus. A peak FWM efficiency of −37.9 dB, corresponding to a generated idler power of −52.8 dBm in the waveguide, was found at a heater power of 42.1 mW. The output to the optical spectrum analyzer (OSA) for this particular result is shown in Fig. 3. The device exhibits dispersion compensation leading to 8 dB of enhancement of the FWM efficiency from the uncoupled case, as shown in Fig. 4(b). Due to the aforementioned thermal cross talk of the heater, the wavelengths of the pump, signal, and idler varied by ∼1 nm across the tuning range, centered around 1550, 1524, and 1578 nm, respectively. This corresponds to FWM across an FSR of 3.334 THz (∼27 nm) which is, to the best of our knowledge, the largest FSR in a silicon resonator demonstrated to support FWM.

The efficiency dependence predicted from the measured idler linewidth and passively measured detuning (Δνdisp) shown in Fig. 4(a) according to Eq. (4), normalized to the −37.9 dB peak efficiency, is shown in Fig. 4(b). We note that the maximum FWM efficiency was found to be at a heater power different than one would predict from the passive spectra (42.1 versus 44.3 mW). We attribute this to the aforementioned nonlinear shift ΔνNL at the higher pump powers used during FWM. It is well known that XPM is a factor of 2 larger than SPM due to wavelength degeneracy [18]. This effectively shifts the signal and idler modes further down in frequency than the pump mode, causing additional FSR mismatch to that caused by dispersion. The red dashed line in Fig. 4(a) shows the estimated Δν with the additional ΔνNL ≈ 6 GHz shift resulting in a much better fit to the measured data, as shown in Fig. 4(b).

In summary, we have proposed a tunable mode coupling approach to dispersion compensation and phase

---

Fig. 2. (a) Passive spectra with four heater powers with (b) zoomed into the interacting resonances. (c) Tracing of the four relevant resonance frequencies with heater power.

Fig. 3. OSA output spectrum of highest efficiency (−37.9 dB) seeded FWM measurement. Dashed lines represent the corresponding powers of each mode in the waveguide.
matching in resonant FWM systems. We demonstrated resonant FWM across the largest FSR (to the best of our knowledge) in silicon using a proposed dual-cavity device designed to compensate dispersion through structural degrees of freedom. With improvement in Qs and coupling losses, we believe this approach can lead to high-efficiency, low-power, broadly separated FWM and pair generation. We also note that the dispersion control demonstrated in this Letter has multiple additional advantages. For instance, in applications such as heralded photon sources where additional signal–idler modes are undesirable, our configuration can be used to avoid generating extra comb lines. Compensating only one resonance will result in all other FSRs not being energy-matched and therefore prevent additional signal–idler modes. On the contrary, this device can benefit the generation of a comb in an already dispersionless cavity by compensating the deleterious effect of differential SPM and XPM on the pump mode in an otherwise equi-spaced comb. This would address an important problem in comb design. In addition to shifting resonances, the proposed method also enables a degree of freedom for linewidth engineering [11]. By employing mode splitting at the pump resonance, one can design a coupling-engineered correlated photon-pair source. Because the pump mode would be distributed across both the primary and auxiliary cavities, it would display half the external coupling of the signal–idler modes, allowing critical coupling of the pump mode (to achieve maximum pump field enhancement) and overcoupling of the signal–idler modes, intrinsically improving photon-pair extraction efficiencies.
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